ANNEXURE-E
Overall Response of Student Satisfaction Survey
carried out during April-May 2019

- How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? 1
- How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? 2
- How well were the teachers able to communicate? 3
- The teacher’s approach to teaching can best be described as 4
- Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers 5
- Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? 6
- The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. 7
- The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth. 8
- The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow 9
- Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes. 10
- Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. 11
- The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. 12
- The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges 13
- Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. 14
- The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. 15
- The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences 16
- Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities 17
- Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. 18
- What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching 19
- The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good 20
## Department-wise Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) Response Summary during April-May 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>UG PROGRAMS</th>
<th>PG PROGRAMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO. OF STUDENTS RESPONDED</td>
<td>AVERAGE RESPONSE ON SCALE 0 -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMP. ENGG.</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTER APPLICATIONS</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH. ENGINEERING</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVIL ENGG.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT STUDIES</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEMISTRY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYSICS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATHEMATICS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVER ALL</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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